IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O. O. C. J.

WRIT PETITION NO.2045 OF 2006

M/s.Lookmanji Mithaiwala Pvt.Ltd. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Board & Ors. ...Respondents.

....

Mr. Mohan Jayakar with Mrs. Neeta Madhyan i/b. M/s.S. M. Associates for the Petitioner.
Ms.eeta Shastri, AGP for Respondent No.1.
Mr.Anirudha Joshi i/b. L.J. Law for Respondent No.3.

.....

CORAM : DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

September 8, 2006.

P.C.

Hearing of this Petition has been adjourned on several occasions since Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner and Counsel appearing on behalf of the Third Respondent stated that the aforesaid parties between whom the contest lies were desirous of settling the dispute. Consent Terms signed on behalf of the Petitioner and on behalf of the Third Respondent by the authorised representatives as well as by the respective Advocates are tendered and taken on record and marked “X”. Parties have agreed that in terms of clause 3 of the Consent Terms, the work

will be supervised by N. N. Shrikhande & Associates. The affidavit filed by Smt. Umaima Chitalwala, dated 5th September 2006 has been taken on record. The First and Second Respondents shall consider the joint application that is to be made by the Petitioner and the Third Respondent as provided for in clause 4 of the Consent Terms and pass orders thereon in accordance with law. Since the dispute has been settled between the Petitioner and the Third Respondent, the petition shall stand disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

.....